Team Work Products Being Evaluated:  **(1st or 2nd ) Process Check**

1. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
2. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
3. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
4. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date
5. Work Evaluated by Assessment Symbol/Color Date

| **Yes** | **No** | **Self Regulation Issues** | |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | 1. The work had a checklist with team number stapled to the top of the work | |
|  |  | 1. The work satisfies the **Presentation of Technical Work** sub-Checklist | |
|  |  | 1. There are no other Self-Regulation problems (if No state problem) | |
|  | | Enter a 1 in the box to the left if there are any No’s | |
| Comments on any of the No’s | | | |
| **Yes** | **No** | **Expected Features** | |
|  |  | 1. There was a Team Process Check from **each member of the team** | |
|  |  | 1. There was a **team consensus** Team Process Check | |
|  |  | 1. There was a team consensus Individual Process Check for each team member | |
|  |  | 1. There was **discussion** of the Team Process Check | |
| Comments on any of the No’s | | | |
| **Wow** | **Ok** | **Weak** | **Revealed Features** |
|  |  |  | Rate the quality of the Discussion |

**Results of Assessment**

| **E** | **M** | **NI** | **NCE** | **SR-Lapse** | **Assessment of Process Check**   1. **E**, exceeds expectations, requires **all** Yes’s plus a Wow 2. **M**, meets expectations, requires **all** Yes’s and No Weaks (except first process check where one Weak is permitted) 3. **NI**, needs improvement, is given if there are any No’s or a Weak 4. **NCE**, no credible effort, is given if there is little to no work to be assessed |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |

If the work was assessed as either **NI** or **NCE** the team may, following the instructions given on the Resubmission of Work Checklist, improve the work and resubmit it for re-assessment on .